# **TestAmerica**

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Seattle 5755 8th Street East Tacoma, WA 98424 Tel: (253)922-2310

TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Client Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

For:

**AECOM** 1111 Third Ave **Suite 1600** Seattle, Washington 98101

Attn: Amy Dahl

# M. Elaine Walker

Authorized for release by: 8/10/2018 2:24:39 PM

Elaine Walker, Project Manager II (253)248-4972

elaine.walker@testamericainc.com

----- LINKS -----

**Review your project** results through Total Access

**Have a Question?** 



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Client: AECOM

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

# **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Case Narrative	3
Definitions	5
Client Sample Results	6
QC Sample Results	7
Chronicle	11
Certification Summary	12
Sample Summary	13
Chain of Custody	14
Receipt Checklists	29
Correspondence	30

3

4

**5** 

7

9

10

11

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Job ID: 580-78968-1

**Laboratory: TestAmerica Seattle** 

Narrative

# SRM - EDD ERROR (TOO MANY CHARACTERS FOR LCSSRM CASE NARRATIVE

**Client: AECOM** 

**Project: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design** 

Report Number: 580-78968-1

This case narrative is in the form of an exception report, where only the anomalies related to this report, method specific performance and/or QA/QC issues are discussed. If there are no issues to report, this narrative will include a statement that documents that there are no relevant data issues.

It should be noted that samples with elevated Reporting Limits (RLs) resulting from a dilution may not be able to satisfy customer reporting limits in some cases. Such increases in the RLs are an unavoidable but acceptable consequence of sample dilution that enables quantification of target analytes within the calibration range of the instrument or that reduces the interferences thereby enabling the quantification of target analytes.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

#### **RECEIPT**

One sample was received on 7/19/2018 9:51 AM; the sample arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice.

This sample is a requested standard reference material (SRM) sample (SRM 1944)

The Client requested metals and TOC be added to the SRM sample.

Note: All samples which require thermal preservation are considered acceptable if the arrival temperature is within 2C of the required temperature or method specified range. For samples with a specified temperature of 4C, samples with a temperature ranging from just above freezing temperature of water to 6C shall be acceptable. Samples that are hand delivered immediately following collection may not meet these criteria, however they will be deemed acceptable according to NELAC standards, if there is evidence that the chilling process has begun, such as arrival on ice, etc.

#### SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS - SELECTED ION MODE (SIM)

Sample SRM 1944 (580-78968-1) was analyzed for semivolatile organic compounds - Selected Ion Mode (SIM) in accordance with SW846 8270D SIM. The sample was prepared on 07/30/2018 and analyzed on 08/01/2018.

2-Methylnaphthalene and Naphthalene were detected in method blank MB 580-280386/1-A at levels exceeding the reporting limit. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged. Associated sample(s) were not re-extracted and/or re-analyzed because results were greater than 10X the value found in the method blank.

Several analytes were detected in method blank MB 580-280386/1-A at levels that were above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The values should be considered estimates, and have been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged. This target analyte concentration was less than ½ the reporting limit (RL); therefore, re-extraction and re-analysis of samples was not performed.

Sample SRM 1944 (580-78968-1)[50X] required dilution prior to analysis due to the nature of the sample matrix. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **METALS (ICPMS)**

Sample SRM 1944 (580-78968-1) was analyzed for Metals (ICPMS) in accordance with 6020A\_LL. The sample was prepared and

,

5

6

7

0

10

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Job ID: 580-78968-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: TestAmerica Seattle (Continued)

analyzed on 07/23/2018.

The presence of the '4' qualifier indicates analytes where the concentration in the unspiked sample exceeded four times the spiking amount.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **TOTAL MERCURY**

Sample SRM 1944 (580-78968-1) was analyzed for total mercury in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 7471A. The sample was prepared and analyzed on 07/30/2018.

Sample SRM 1944 (580-78968-1)[20X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON**

Sample SRM 1944 (580-78968-1) was analyzed for total organic carbon in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 9060. The sample was analyzed on 07/24/2018.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

3

5

\_

7

\_

1 N

11

# **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Method Detection Limit Minimum Level (Dioxin)

Practical Quantitation Limit

Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)

Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

Not Calculated

**Quality Control** 

#### **Qualifiers**

#### **GC/MS Semi VOA**

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
В	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

#### **Glossary**

MDL

ML NC

ND

**PQL** 

QC RER

RL

RPD TEF

TEQ

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
n n	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

2

4

5

7

10

11

# **Client Sample Results**

Client: AECOM Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Client Sample ID: SRM 1944

Date Collected: 07/19/18 00:01

Date Received: 07/19/18 09:51

Lab Sample ID: 580-78968-1

TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Ma

atrix:	Solid	
a C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Jona	

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
2-Methylnaphthalene	420	В	48	4.3	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Acenaphthene	240		48	5.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Acenaphthylene	720		48	4.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Anthracene	870	В	48	5.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	3600	В	48	7.3	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	2300	В	48	3.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3900	В	48	5.7	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2100	В	48	4.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1300	В	48	5.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Chrysene	4000	В	48	14	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	610	В	48	6.9	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Fluoranthene	6400	В	48	13	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Fluorene	250	В	48	4.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1800	В	48	5.8	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Naphthalene	640	В	48	7.7	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Phenanthrene	3600	В	48	6.6	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Pyrene	6700	В	48	9.3	ug/Kg		07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Terphenyl-d14	87		57 - 120				07/30/18 11:32	08/01/18 14:18	5
Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/N	IS)								
Analyte		Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Arsenic	17		0.21	0.042	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 18:31	
Cadmium	7.9		0.17	0.033	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 18:31	
Copper	340		0.42	0.093	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 18:31	
Lead	290		0.21	0.020	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 18:31	
Manganese	310		0.42	0.19	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 18:31	
Zinc	560		2.1	0.68	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 18:31	;
Method: 7471A - Mercury (CVA	AA)								
Analyte		Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Mercury	4.2		0.57	0.17	mg/Kg		07/30/18 09:20	07/30/18 14:09	2
General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Total Organic Carbon - Duplicates	42000		2000	4.4	mg/Kg			07/24/18 14:25	

8/10/2018

TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Client: AECOM

### Method: 8270D SIM - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS SIM)

MB MB

91

Qualifier

%Recovery

Lab Sample ID: MB 580-280386/1-A **Client Sample ID: Method Blank Matrix: Solid** Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 280580 Prep Batch: 280386 MB MB Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac **Analyte** 0.090 ug/Kg 1.0 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 2-Methylnaphthalene 2.13 ND 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Acenaphthene 1.0 0.12 ug/Kg 1 Acenaphthylene ND 1.0 0.10 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Anthracene 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 0.171 J 1.0 0.12 ug/Kg Benzo[a]anthracene 0.354 J 1.0 0.15 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Benzo[a]pyrene 0.384 J 1.0 0.080 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Benzo[b]fluoranthene 0.382 J 1.0 0.12 ug/Kg 0.337 J 0.10 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Benzo[g,h,i]perylene 1.0 Benzo[k]fluoranthene 0.431 J 1.0 0.12 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Chrysene 0.440 J 1.0 0.30 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Dibenz(a,h)anthracene 0.333 J 1.0 0.14 ug/Kg Fluoranthene 0.351 J 1.0 0.28 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Fluorene 0.102 J 1.0 0.10 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene 0.346 J 1.0 0.12 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Naphthalene 1.40 1.0 0.16 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Phenanthrene 0.293 J 1.0 0.14 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 Pyrene 0.352 J 0.19 ug/Kg 07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10 1.0

Limits

57 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCS 580-280386/2-A

**Matrix: Solid** 

Surrogate

Surrogate

Terphenyl-d14

Terphenyl-d14

Analysis Batch: 280580

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 280386

07/30/18 11:32 08/01/18 12:10

Analyzed

Prepared

Analysis Batch. 200500	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
2-Methylnaphthalene	200	200		ug/Kg		100	68 - 120
Acenaphthene	200	196		ug/Kg		98	68 - 120
Acenaphthylene	200	189		ug/Kg		94	68 - 120
Anthracene	200	215		ug/Kg		107	73 - 125
Benzo[a]anthracene	200	230		ug/Kg		115	66 - 120
Benzo[a]pyrene	200	222		ug/Kg		111	72 - 124
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	200	236		ug/Kg		118	63 - 121
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	200	235		ug/Kg		117	63 - 120
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	200	232		ug/Kg		116	63 - 123
Chrysene	200	216		ug/Kg		108	69 - 120
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	200	223		ug/Kg		111	70 - 125
Fluoranthene	200	217		ug/Kg		109	74 - 125
Fluorene	200	208		ug/Kg		104	73 - 120
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	200	207		ug/Kg		103	65 - 121
Naphthalene	200	176		ug/Kg		88	70 - 120
Phenanthrene	200	200		ug/Kg		100	73 - 120
Pyrene	200	212		ug/Kg		106	70 - 120

LCS LCS %Recovery Qualifier I imits 81 57 - 120

TestAmerica Seattle

Dil Fac

8/10/2018

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 580-279768/22-A

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank Matrix: Solid Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 279898** Prep Batch: 279768 MR MR

	IVID	IVID							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.25	0.050	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 16:33	5
Cadmium	ND		0.20	0.039	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 16:33	5
Copper	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 16:33	5
Lead	ND		0.25	0.024	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 16:33	5
Manganese	ND		0.50	0.23	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 16:33	5
Zinc	ND		2.5	0.81	mg/Kg		07/23/18 09:56	07/23/18 16:33	5

Lab Sample ID: LCS 580-279768/23-A

**Matrix: Solid** 

**Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 279898 Prep Batch: 279768** 

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Arsenic	200	189		mg/Kg		95	80 - 120	
Cadmium	5.00	4.71		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	
Copper	25.0	23.2		mg/Kg		93	80 - 120	
Lead	50.0	46.6		mg/Kg		93	80 - 120	
Manganese	50.0	46.1		mg/Kg		92	80 - 120	
Zinc	200	186		mg/Kg		93	80 - 120	

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 580-279768/24-A

Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 279898							Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 279768			
	Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
Arsenic	200	190		mg/Kg		93	80 - 120	2	20	
Cadmium	5.00	4.7		mg/Kg		93	80 - 120	1	20	
Copper	25.0	24		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	1	20	
Lead	50.0	47		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	1	20	
Manganese	50.0	46		mg/Kg		92	80 - 120	0	20	
Zinc	200	180		mg/Kg		90	80 - 120	3	20	

Method: 7471A - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 580-280359/17-A Client Sample ID: Method Blank **Matrix: Solid Prep Type: Total/NA** 

**Analysis Batch: 280406** 

MB MB

		14.15							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	)	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.030	0.0090	mg/Kg		07/30/18 09:20	07/30/18 12:24	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 580-280359/18-A		Client Sample ID: Lab Control						
Matrix: Solid							Prep Type: Total/NA	
Analysis Batch: 280406							<b>Prep Batch: 280359</b>	
	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Mercury	0.167	0.159		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120	

TestAmerica Seattle

8/10/2018

**Prep Batch: 280359** 

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup** 

TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Client: AECOM

Method: 7471A - Mercury (CVAA) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 580-280359/19-A		Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup										
Matrix: Solid							Prep Ty	pe: Tot	al/NA			
Analysis Batch: 280406							Prep Ba	atch: 28	30359			
	Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD			
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit			
Mercury	0.167	0.159		mg/Kg		95	80 - 120		20			

## Method: 9060\_PSEP - TOC (Puget Sound)

Lab Sample ID: MB 580-279996/3	Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Matrix: Solid	Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 279996	
MB	MB

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon - Duplicates	ND		2000	44	mg/Kg			07/24/18 14:17	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 580-279996/4 Matrix: Solid			Clie	ent Sar	nple ID	: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA	
Analysis Batch: 279996	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits

Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Total Organic Carbon -	4270	3990		mg/Kg		93	68 - 149	
Duplicates								

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 580-279996/5	Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Matrix: Solid	Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 279996	

		Spike	LCSD	LCOD				%Rec.		KPD	
Analyte		Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
Total Organic Carbon -		4270	4120		mg/Kg		96	68 - 149	3	32	
Duplicates											

Lab Sample ID: 580-78968-1 MS	Client Sample ID: SRM 1944
Matrix: Solid	Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 279996										
_	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Total Organic Carbon -	42000		120000	154000		mg/Kg	_	93	68 - 149	

Duplicates	
Lab Sample ID: 580-78968-1 MSD	Client Sample ID: SRM 1944
Matrix: Solid	Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 279996											
•	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Total Organic Carbon -	42000		120000	153000		mg/Kg		92	68 - 149	1	32

Allalyte	Result Qualifier	Added	Result Quali	iei Oilit		/01 <b>CC</b>	Lillito	IXI D	Lilling
Total Organic Carbon -	42000	120000	153000	mg/Kg	_	92	68 - 149	1	32
Duplicates									
ah Sample ID: 580-78968	-1 DH					Client	Sample IF	). SPM	19//

Matrix: Solid							Prep Typ	e: Tota	al/NA
Analysis Batch: 279996									
	Sample	Sample	DU	DU					RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D		RPD	Limit
Total Organic Carbon -	42000		 43400		mg/Kg	_		2	50
Duplicates									

TestAmerica Seattle

Page 9 of 30 8/10/2018

**Prep Type: Total/NA** 

# **QC Sample Results**

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Method: 9060\_PSEP - TOC (Puget Sound) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 580-78968-1 TRL

Matrix: Solid

**Analysis Batch: 279996** 

Total Organic Carbon -

Duplicates

Analyte

3

4

Client Sample ID: SRM 1944

\_

6

<u>۾</u>

9

10

### **Lab Chronicle**

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Client Sample ID: SRM 1944 Lab Sample ID: 580-78968-1

Date Collected: 07/19/18 00:01 Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 07/19/18 09:51

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	3546			280386	07/30/18 11:32		TAL SEA
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D SIM		50	280580	08/01/18 14:18	T1W	TAL SEA
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			279768	07/23/18 09:56	T1H	TAL SEA
Total/NA	Analysis	6020B		5	279898	07/23/18 18:31	FCW	TAL SEA
Total/NA	Prep	7471A			280359	07/30/18 09:20	T1H	TAL SEA
Total/NA	Analysis	7471A		20	280406	07/30/18 14:09	FCW	TAL SEA
Total/NA	Analysis	9060_PSEP		1	279996	07/24/18 14:25	Z1T	TAL SEA

#### **Laboratory References:**

TAL SEA = TestAmerica Seattle, 5755 8th Street East, Tacoma, WA 98424, TEL (253)922-2310

3

**O** 

7

0

40

4 4

# **Accreditation/Certification Summary**

Client: AECOM TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

# **Laboratory: TestAmerica Seattle**

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	<b>Identification Number</b>	<b>Expiration Date</b>
Alaska (UST)	State Program	10	17-024	01-19-19
ANAB	DoD ELAP		L2236	01-19-19
ANAB	ISO/IEC 17025		L2236	01-19-19
California	State Program	9	2901	11-05-18
Montana (UST)	State Program	8	N/A	04-30-20
Oregon	NELAP	10	WA100007	11-05-18
US Fish & Wildlife	Federal		LE058448-0	07-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-14-00126	02-10-20
Washington	State Program	10	C553	02-17-19

3

4

R

0

10

11

# **Sample Summary**

Client: AECOM

Project/Site: Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

TestAmerica Job ID: 580-78968-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
580-78968-1	SRM 1944	Solid	07/19/18 00:01	07/19/18 09:51

3

4

5

O

9

Loc: 580 **78968** 

NIST

Date of Issue: 17 February 2017

### SAFETY DATA SHEET

### 1. SUBSTANCE AND SOURCE IDENTIFICATION

**Product Identifier** 

SRM Number: 1944

SRM Name: New York/New Jersey Waterway Sediment

Other Means of Identification: Not applicable.

#### Recommended Use of This Material and Restrictions of Use

Standard Reference Material (SRM) 1944 is a mixture of marine sediment collected near urban areas in New York and New Jersey. SRM 1944 is intended for use in evaluating analytical methods for the determination of selected polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners, chlorinated pesticides, and trace elements in marine sediment and similar matrices. All of the constituents for which certified, reference, and information values are provided in SRM 1944 were naturally present in the sediment before processing. A unit of SRM 1944 consists of a bottle containing 50 g of radiation-sterilized, freeze-dried sediment.

#### Company Information

National Institute of Standards and Technology Standard Reference Materials Program 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2300 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-2300

Telephone: 301-975-2200 FAX: 301-948-3730 E-mail: SRMMSDS@nist.gov Website: http://www.nist.gov/srm Emergency Telephone ChemTrec: 1-800-424-9300 (North America) +1-703-527-3887 (International)

#### 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

#### Classification

Physical Hazard: Not classified. Health Hazard: Not classified.

Label Elements

Symbol

No Symbol/Pictogram

**Signal Word**Not applicable.

Hazard Statement(s): Not applicable.

Precautionary Statement(s): Not applicable.

Hazards Not Otherwise Classified: Not applicable.

Ingredients(s) with Unknown Acute Toxicity: Not applicable.



580-78968 Chain of Custody

#### 3. Composition and Information on Hazardous Ingredients

Substance: Waterway sediment Other Designations: Sediment.

This material is naturally occurring marine sediment collected near urban areas. The material contains trace amounts of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners, chlorinated pesticides, and trace elements. Components are listed in compliance with OSHA's 29 CFR 1910.1200; for the actual values see the Certificate of Analysis.

SRM 1944

Page 1 of 5

age 1015

2

3

Ę

6

9

4 4

**Engineering Controls:** Provide local exhaust or process enclosure ventilation system. Ensure compliance with applicable exposure limits.

**Personal Protection:** In accordance with OSHA 29 CFR 1910.132, subpart I, wear appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to minimize exposure to this material.

**Respiratory Protection:** If workplace conditions warrant a respirator, a respiratory protection program that meets OSHA 29CFR 1910.134 must be followed. Refer to NIOSH 42 CFR 84 for applicable certified respirators.

Eye/Face Protection: Wear splash resistant safety goggles with a face shield. An eye wash station should be readily available near areas of use.

**Skin and Body Protection:** Personal protective equipment for the body should be selected based on the task being performed and the risks involved and should be approved by a specialist before handling this product. Chemical-resistant gloves should be worn at all times when handling chemicals.

Descriptive Properties:	
Appearance	amorphous powder
(physical state, color, etc.):	
Molecular Formula:	not applicable
Molar Mass (g/mol):	not applicable
Odor:	not available
Odor threshold:	not available
рН:	not available
Evaporation rate:	not applicable
Melting point/freezing point (°C):	not available
Specific Gravity (water=1)	not available
Vapor Pressure (mmHg):	not applicable
Vapor Density (air = 1):	not applicable
Viscosity (cP):	not applicable
Solubility(ies):	not available
Partition coefficient (n-octanol/water):	not available
Particle Size:	not available
Thermal Stability Properties:	
Autoignition Temperature (°C):	not available
Thermal Decomposition (°C):	not available
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C):	not available
Explosive Limits, LEL (Volume %):	not available
Explosive Limits, UEL (Volume %):	not available
Flash Point (°C):	not available
Flammability (solid, gas):	not available
10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY	
Reactivity: Stable at normal temperatures and pressure.	
Stability: X Stable Unstab	le
Possible Hazardous Reactions: None listed.	
Conditions to Avoid: Avoid generating dust.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ncompatible Materials: None listed.	
Fire/Explosion Information: See Section 5, "Fire Fighting"	
Hazardous Decomposition: Thermal decomposition will a	produce oxides of carbon.
Hazardous Polymerization: Will Occur	X Will Not Occur

ACUTE HEALTH:

No.

CHRONIC HEALTH:

No. No.

FIRE: REACTIVE:

No. No.

PRESSURE:

No.

#### **State Regulations:**

California Proposition 65: Not listed.

U.S. TSCA Inventory: Not listed.

TSCA 12(b), Export Notification: Not listed.

#### Canadian Regulations:

WHMIS Information: Not provided for this material.

#### 16. OTHER INFORMATION

Issue Date: 17 February 2017

•

Sources: 29 CFR Occupational Health and Safety Office (OSHA) 1910.1000, Limits for Air Contaminants,

Table Z-1; available at

http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\_document?p\_table=STANDARDS&p\_id=9992

(accessed Feb 2017).

Center for Disease Control (CDC) NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, Particulates not otherwise

regulated; available at http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0480.html (accessed Feb 2017).

#### Key of Acronyms:

-			
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ALI	Annual Limit on Intake	NTP	National Toxicology Program
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response,	PEL	Permissible Exposure Limit
CENTOEN	Compensation, and Liability Act		,
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
DOT	Department of Transportation	REL	Recommended Exposure Limit
EC50	Effective Concentration, 50 %	RM	Reference Material
EINECS	European Inventory of Existing Commercial	RQ	Reportable Quantity
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Chemical Substances	`	
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know	RTECS	Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances
010.	Act		
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer	SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
ΙΛΤΛ	International Air Transport Association	SCBA	Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus
IDLH	Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health	SRM	Standard Reference Material
LC50	Lethal Concentration. 50 %	STEL	Short Term Exposure Limit
LD50	Lethal Dose, 50 %	TLV	Threshold Limit Value
LEL	Lower Explosive Limit	TPO	Threshold Planning Quantity
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet	TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association	TWA	Time Weighted Average
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health	UEL	Upper Explosive Limit
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology	WHMIS	Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System
	* · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•

**Disclaimer:** Physical and chemical data contained in this SDS are provided only for use in assessing the hazardous nature of the material. The SDS was prepared carefully, using current references; however, NIST does not certify the data in the SDS. The certified values for this material are given in the NIST Certificate of Analysis.

Users of this SRM should ensure that the SDS in their possession is current. This can be accomplished by contacting the SRM Program: telephone (301) 975-2200; fax (301) 948-3730; e-mail srmmsds@nist.gov; or via the Internet at http://www.nist.gov/srm.



# National Institute of Standards & Technology

# Certificate of Analysis

# Standard Reference Material® 1944

# New York/New Jersey Waterway Sediment

Standard Reference Material (SRM) 1944 is a mixture of marine sediment collected near urban areas in New York and New Jersey. SRM 1944 is intended for use in evaluating analytical methods for the determination of selected polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners, chlorinated pesticides, and trace elements in marine sediment and similar matrices. Reference values are also provided for selected polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) congeners, selected dibenzo-p-dioxin and dibenzofuran congeners, total organic carbon, total extractable material, and particle size characteristics. Information values are provided for selected polychlorinated naphthalenes (PCNs) and hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs). All of the constituents for which certified, reference, and information values are provided in SRM 1944 were naturally present in the sediment before processing. A unit of SRM 1944 consists of a bottle containing 50 g of radiation-sterilized, freeze-dried sediment.

Certified Mass Fraction Values: Certified values for mass fractions of PAHs, PCB congeners, chlorinated pesticides, and trace elements are provided in Tables 1 through 4. A NIST certified value is a value for which NIST has the highest confidence in its accuracy in that all known or suspected sources of bias have been investigated or taken into account [1]. The certified values for the PAHs, PCB congeners, and chlorinated pesticides are based on the agreement of results obtained at NIST using two or more chemically independent analytical techniques. The certified values for the trace elements are based on NIST measurements by one technique and additional results from several collaborating laboratories.

Reference Mass Fraction Values: Reference values are provided for mass fractions of additional PAHs (some in combination) in Tables 5 and 6, additional PCB congeners and chlorinated pesticides in Table 7, PBDE congeners in Table 8, and additional inorganic constituents in Tables 9 and 10. Reference values are provided in Table 11 for the 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin and dibenzofuran congeners and total tetra-, penta-, hexa-, and hepta-congeners of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin and dibenzofuran. Reference values for particle size characteristics are provided in Table 12 and 13. Reference values for total organic carbon and percent extractable mass are provided in Table 14. Reference values are noncertified values that are the best estimate of the true value; however, the values do not meet the NIST criteria for certification and are provided with associated uncertainties that may reflect only measurement precision, may not include all sources of uncertainty, or may reflect a lack of sufficient statistical agreement among multiple analytical methods [1].

**Information Mass Fraction Values:** Information values are provided in Table 15 for mass fractions of additional trace elements, in Table 16 for PCN congeners (some in combination), and in Table 17 for HBCD isomers. An information value is considered to be a value that will be of interest and use to the SRM user, but insufficient information is available to assess the uncertainty associated with the value or only a limited number of analyses were performed [1]. Information values cannot be used to establish metrological traceability.

Expiration of Certification: The certification of SRM 1944 is valid, within the measurement uncertainty specified, until 31 March 2027, provided the SRM is handled and stored in accordance with the instructions given in this certificate (see "Instructions for Handling, Storage, and Use"). The certification is nullified if the SRM is damaged, contaminated, or otherwise modified.

Carlos A. Gonzalez, Chief Chemical Sciences Division

Steven J. Choquette, Director Office of Reference Materials

Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Certificate Issue Date: 17 February 2017

Certificate Revision History on Page 20

SRM 1944 Page 1 of 22

Page 17 of 30

Sample Collection and Preparation: The sediment used to prepare this SRM was collected from six sites in the vicinity of New York Bay and Newark Bay in October 1994. Site selection was based on contaminant levels measured in previous samples from these sites and was intended to provide relatively high concentrations for a variety of chemical classes of contaminants. The sediment was collected using an epoxy-coated modified Van Veen-type grab sampler designed to sample the sediment to a depth of 10 cm. A total of approximately 2100 kg of wet sediment was collected from the six sites. The sediment was freeze-dried, sieved (nominally  $250 \text{ \mu m}$  to  $61 \text{ \mu m}$ ), homogenized in a cone blender, radiation sterilized at an estimated minimum dose of 32 kilograys ( $^{60}\text{Co}$ ), and then packaged in screwcapped amber glass bottles.

Conversion to Dry-Mass Basis: The results for the constituents in SRM 1944 are reported on a dry-mass basis; however, the material as received contains residual moisture. The amount of moisture in SRM 1944 was determined by measuring the mass loss after freeze drying test portions of 1.6 g to 2.5 g for five days at 1 Pa with a -10 °C shelf temperature and a -50 °C condenser temperature. The mass fraction of moisture in SRM 1944 at the time of the certification analyses was 1.25 %  $\pm$  0.03 % (95 % confidence level).

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: The general approach used for the value assignment of the PAHs in SRM 1944 consisted of combining results from analyses using various combinations of different extraction techniques and solvents, cleanup/isolation procedures, and chromatographic separation and detection techniques [2]. Techniques and solvents involved were Soxhlet extraction and pressurized fluid extraction (PFE) using dichloromethane (DCM) or a hexane/acetone mixture, clean up of the extracts using solid-phase extraction (SPE), or normal-phase liquid chromatography (LC), followed by analysis using the following techniques: (1) reversed-phase liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection (LC-FL) analysis of the total PAH fraction, (2) reversed-phase LC-FL analysis of isomeric PAH fractions isolated by normal-phase LC (i.e., multidimensional LC), (3) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis of the PAH fraction on four stationary phases of different selectivity, i.e., a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase, a 50 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase, a proprietary non-polar polysiloxane phase, and a smectic liquid crystalline stationary phase.

Seven sets of GC/MS results, designated as GC/MS (I), GC/MS (II), GC/MS (III), GC/MS (IV), GC/MS (V), GC/MS (VI), and GC/MS (Sm), were obtained using four columns with different selectivities for the separation of PAHs. For GC/MS (I) analyses, duplicate test portions of 1 g from eight bottles of SRM 1944 were Soxhlet extracted for 24 h with DCM. Copper powder was added to the extract to remove elemental sulfur. The concentrated extract was passed through a silica SPE cartridge and eluted with 2 % DCM in hexane. (All extraction and LC solvent compositions are expressed as volume fractions unless otherwise noted.) The processed extract was then analyzed by GC/MS using a 0.25 mm i.d. × 60 m fused silica capillary column with a 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase (0.25 µm film thickness) (DB-5 MS, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). The GC/MS (II) analyses were performed using 1 g to 2 g test portions from three bottles of SRM 1944 and 2 g to 3 g test portions from three bottles of SRM 1944 that had been mixed with a similar amount of water (i.e., a wetted sediment). These test portions were Soxhlet extracted with DCM and processed through the silica SPE as described above; however, the extract was further fractionated using normal-phase LC on a semi-preparative aminopropylsilane column to isolate the PAH fraction. The PAH fraction was then analyzed using the same column as described above for GC/MS (I); however, the test portions were extracted, processed, and analyzed as part of three different sample sets at different times using different calibrations for each set. For the GC/MS (III), 1 g to 2 g test portions from six bottles of SRM 1944 were Soxhlet extracted for 18 h with 250 mL of a mixture of 50 % hexane/50 % acetone. The extracts were then processed and analyzed as described for GC/MS (II). For GC/MS (IV) analyses, 1 g to 2 g test portions from six bottles of SRM 1944 were extracted using PFE with a mixture of 50 % hexane/50 % acetone, and the extracts were processed as described above for GC/MS (II). The GC/MS (V) results were obtained by analyzing three of the same PAH fractions that were analyzed in GC/MS (III) and three of the PAH fractions that were analyzed in GC/MS (IV) using a 50 % (mole fraction) phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane stationary phase (0.25 mm i.d. × 60 m, 0.25 µm film thickness) (DB-17MS, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). For GC/MS (VI) analyses, three test portions of 0.7 g from one bottle of SRM 1944 were Soxhlet extracted for 24 h with DCM. Copper powder was added to the extract to remove elemental sulfur. The concentrated extract was passed through an aminopropyl SPE cartridge and eluted with 20 % DCM in hexane. The processed extract was then analyzed by GC/MS using a 0.25 mm i.d. × 60 m fused silica capillary column with a proprietary non-polar polysiloxane phase (0.25 µm film thickness) (DB-XLB, J&W Scientific). For GC/MS (Sm) 1 g to 2 g test portions from six bottles of SRM 1944 were Soxhlet extracted for 24 h

4

5

8

10

<sup>(</sup>I)Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this report to adequately specify the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

SRM 1944

Page 3 of 22

Three sets of results were obtained by GC/MS. For GC/MS (I), 1 g to 2 g test portions from six bottles were Soxhlet extracted with a mixture of 50 % hexane/50 % acetone. Copper powder was added to the extract to remove elemental sulfur. The concentrated extract was passed through a silica SPE cartridge and eluted with 10 % DCM in hexane. The extract was then analyzed by GC/MS using a 0.25 mm  $\times$  60 m fused silica capillary column with a 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase (0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness). The GC/MS (II) results were obtained in the same manner as the GC/MS (I) analyses except that the six test portions were extracted using PFE. The GC/MS (III) analyses were performed on the same extract fractions analyzed in GC-ECD (II) using the 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase describe above for GC/MS (I). For both the GC-ECD and GC/MS analyses, two PCB congeners that are not significantly present in the sediment extract (PCB 103 and PCB 198 [3]), and 4,4'-DDT- $d_8$  were added to the sediment prior to extraction for use as internal standards for quantification purposes.

In addition to the analyses performed at NIST, SRM 1944 was used in an interlaboratory comparison exercise in 1995 as part of the NIST Intercomparison Exercise Program for Organic Contaminants in the Marine Environment [4]. Results from nineteen laboratories that participated in this exercise were used as the eighth data set in the determination of the certified values for PCB congeners and chlorinated pesticides in SRM 1944. The laboratories participating in this exercise used the analytical procedures routinely used in their laboratories to measure PCB congeners and chlorinated pesticides.

Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers: Value assignment of the concentrations of eight PBDE congeners was based on the means of results from two interlaboratory studies [5,6] and two sets of data from NIST. The laboratories participating in the interlaboratory exercises (see Appendix A) employed the analytical procedures routinely used in their laboratories to measure PBDEs. For the two methods used at NIST, six test portions (between 1 g and 2 g) were extracted using PFE at 100 °C with DCM. The extracts were cleaned up using an alumina column (5 % deactivated) SPE column. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a divinylbenzene-polystyrene column (10 μm particle size, 10 nm (100 angstrom) pore size, 7.5 mm i.d. × 300 mm, PL-Gel, Polymer Labs, Inc.) was then used to remove the sulfur. The PBDEs, as well as PCBs and pesticides, were quantified using GC/MS in the electron impact mode on a 0.18 mm i.d. × 30 m fused silica capillary column with a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl methylpolysiloxane phase (0.18 μm film thickness; DB-5MS, Agilent Technologies). The PBDEs were also quantified using GC/MS in the negative chemical ionization mode on a 0.18 mm i.d. × 10 m fused silica capillary column with a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl methylpolysiloxane phase (0.18 μm film thickness; DB-5MS, Agilent Technologies). Selected Carbon-13 labeled PBDE and PCB congeners were added to the sediment prior to extraction for use as internal standards for quantification purposes.

Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Dibenzofurans: Value assignment of the concentrations of the polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin and dibenzofuran congeners and the total tetra- through hepta- substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans was accomplished by combining results from the analysis of SRM 1944 by fourteen laboratories that participated in an interlaboratory comparison study (see Appendix B). Each laboratory analyzed three test portions (typically 1 g) of SRM 1944 using their routine analytical procedures and high resolution gas chromatography with high resolution mass spectrometry detection (GC-HRMS). The analytical procedures used by all of the laboratories included spiking with <sup>13</sup>C-labeled surrogates (internal standards); Soxhlet extraction with toluene; sample extract cleanup with acid/base silica, alumina, and carbon columns; and finally analysis of the cleaned up extract with GC-HRMS. Most of the laboratories used a 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase capillary column (DB-5), and about half of the laboratories confirmed 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran using a 50 % cyanopropylphenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane (DB-225, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA) capillary column.

Analytical Approach for Inorganic Constituents: Value assignment for the concentrations of selected trace elements was accomplished by combining results of the analyses of SRM 1944 from NIST, NRCC, IAEA, and seven laboratories that participated in an interlaboratory comparison exercise coordinated by NRCC [7] (see Appendix C). The analytical methods used for the determination of each element are summarized in Table 18. For the certified concentration values listed in Table 4, results were combined from: (1) analyses at NIST using isotope dilution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ID-ICPMS) or instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA), (2) analyses at NRCC using ID-ICPMS, graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS), and/or inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICPOES), (3) analyses at IAEA using INAA, and (4) the mean of the results from seven laboratories that participated in the NRCC interlaboratory comparison exercise. The reference mass fraction values in Table 9 were determined by combining results from (1) analyses performed at NIST using INAA; (2) analyses at NRCC using ID-ICPMS, GFAAS, ICPOES, and/or cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS); (3) analyses at IAEA using INAA; and (4) the mean of the results from five to seven laboratories that participated in the NRCC interlaboratory comparison exercise. The information concentration values in Table 15 were determined by INAA at NIST and IAEA.

SRM 1944 Page 5 of 22

Page 19 of 30 8/10/2018

**HBCDs:** Value assignment of the concentrations of three HBCD isomers was accomplished by combining results from the analysis of SRM 1944 in two sets from NIST and one set from Virginia Institute of Marine Science. For the two sets analyzed at NIST, the second fraction from an acidified silica SPE clean-up was analyzed by LC/MS/MS for the HBCDs using both electrospray ionization (ESI) and atmospheric pressurized photoionization (APPI). A C18 column (3.0 mm  $\times$  150 mm  $\times$  3.5  $\mu$ m column, Eclipse Plus, Agilent Technologies) and YMC Carotenoid S5 C30 column (4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm  $\times$  5  $\mu$ m column) were used with a solvent gradient using 2.5 mmol/L ammonium acetate in 12.5 % water in methanol and acetonitrile at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. Carbon-13 labeled HBCDs were added to the sediment prior to solvent extraction for use as internal standards for quantification purposes.

The measurands for certified values are the total concentrations of the analytes listed in Tables 1-4. Metrological traceability is to the SI derived units for mass fraction, expressed as milligrams per kilogram, micrograms per kilogram or percentage, respectively.

Table 1. Certified Mass Fraction Values for Selected PAHs in SRM 1944 (Dry-Mass Basis)

	Mass Fraction <sup>(a,b)</sup> (mg/kg)			
Phenanthrene <sup>(c,d,e,f,g)</sup>	5.27	±	0.22	
Fluoranthene <sup>(c,d,e,f,g)</sup>	8.92	<u>+</u>	0.32	
Pyrene <sup>(c,d,e,f,g)</sup>	9.70	<u>+</u>	0.42	
Benzo[c]phenathrene(c,d,e,f,h)	0.76	<del>1</del>	0.10	
Benz[a]anthracene(c.d.e.f.g.h)	4.72	<u>±</u>	0.11	
Chrysene <sup>(h,k)</sup>	4.86	±	$0.10^{(i)}$	
Triphenylene <sup>(h,k)</sup>	1.04	±	0.27	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene(g,h,j)	3.87	<u>+</u>	0.42	
Benzo[j]fluoranthene(h,j)	2.09	$\pm$	0.44	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene(c,d,e,f,g,h,j)	2.30	<u>+</u>	0.20	
Benzo[ $a$ ]fluoranthene $^{(c,d,e,f,h,j)}$	0.78	$\pm$	0.12	
Benzo[e]pyrene(c.d,e,f,h,j)	3.28	<u>+</u>	0.11	
Benzo[ $a$ ]pyrene <sup>(c,d,e,f,g,h,j)</sup>	4.30	±	0.13	
Perylene(c,d,e,f,g,h,j)	1.17	<u>+</u>	0.24	
Benzo[ $ghi$ ]perylene $^{(c,d,e,f,j,k)}$	2.84	±	0.10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene(c,d,e,f,j,k)	2.78	±	0.10	
Dibenz[ $a,j$ ]anthracene( $c,d,e,f,j,k$ )	0.500	$\pm$	0.044	
Dibenz[ $a,c$ ]anthracene $^{(j,k)}$	0.335	±	0.013	
Dibenz $[a,h]$ anthracene $^{(j,k)}$	0.424	$\pm$	0.069	
Pentaphene <sup>(c,d,e,f,,j,k)</sup>	0.288	$\pm$	0.026	
Benzo[ $b$ ]chrysene $^{(c,d,e,f,j,k,h)}$	0.63	±	0.10	
Picene(c,d,e,f,j,k)	0.518	±	0.093	

<sup>(</sup>a) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

<sup>(</sup>b) Each certified value is a mean of the means from two or more analytical methods, weighted as described in Paule and Mandel [10].

Each uncertainty, computed according to the Comité International des Poids et Mesures (CIPM) approach as described in the ISO/JCGM Guide [11,12], is an expanded uncertainty at the 95 % level of confidence, which includes random sources of uncertainty within each analytical method as well as uncertainty due to the drying study. The expanded uncertainty defines a range of values within which the true value is believed to lie, at a level of confidence of approximately 95 %.

<sup>(</sup>c) Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) (I) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after Soxhlet extraction with DCM.

<sup>(</sup>d) GC/MS (II) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after Soxhlet extraction with DCM.

<sup>(</sup>e) GC/MS (III) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after Soxhlet extraction with 50 % hexane/50 % acetone

<sup>(</sup>f) GC/MS (IV) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after PFE with 50 % hexane/50 % acetone mixture.

<sup>(</sup>g) LC-FL of total PAH fraction after Soxhlet extraction with 50 % hexane/50 % acetone mixture.

<sup>(</sup>h) GC/MS (Sm) using a smectic liquid crystalline phase after Soxhlet extraction with DCM.

<sup>(</sup>i) The uncertainty interval for chrysene was widened in accordance with expert consideration of the analytical procedures, along with the analysis of the data as a whole, which suggests that the half-widths of the expanded uncertainties should not be less than 2 %

<sup>(</sup>i) GC/MS (V) on 50 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase of extracts from GC/MS (III) and GC/MS (IV).

<sup>(</sup>k) LC-FL of isomeric PAH fractions after Soxhlet extraction with 50 % hexane/50 % acetone mixture.

Table 4. Certified Mass Fraction Values for Selected Elements in SRM 1944 (Dry-Mass Basis)

	Degrees of Freedom	Ma	ss Frac (%)	tions <sup>(a,b)</sup>
Aluminum(c,d,e)	4	5.33	<u>±</u>	0.49
Iron <sup>(c,d,e)</sup>	6	3.53	<u>±</u>	0.16
		Ma	ss Frac (mg/k	tions <sup>(a,b)</sup> g)
Arsenic(c,d,e,f,g)	10	18.9	<u>±</u>	2.8
Cadmium(c,f,,h,i)	6	8.8	土	1.4
Chromium(c,d,f,g,i)	9	266	土	24
Lead(c,h,i)	5	330	<u>+</u>	48
Manganese(c,d,e)	8	505	$\pm$	25
Nickel <sup>(c,g,h,i)</sup>	6	76.1	±	5.6
$Zinc^{(c,d,e,g,i)}$	9	656	±	75

<sup>(</sup>a) The certified value is the mean of four results: (1) the mean of NIST INAA or ID-ICPMS analyses, (2) the mean of two methods performed at NRCC, and (3) the mean of results from seven selected laboratories participating in the NRCC intercomparison exercise, and (4) the mean results from INAA analyses at IAEA. The expanded uncertainty in the certified value is equal to  $U = ku_c$  where  $u_c$  is the combined standard uncertainty and k is the coverage factor, both calculated according to the ISO/ICGM Guide [11,12]. The value of  $u_c$  is intended to represent at the level of one standard deviation the combined effect of all the uncertainties in the certified value. Here  $u_c$  accounts for both possible method biases, within-method variation, and material inhomogeneity. The coverage factor, k, is the Student's t-value for a 95 % confidence interval with the corresponding degrees of freedom. Because of the material inhomogeneity, the variability among the measurements of multiple samples can be expected to be greater than that due to measurement variability alone.

SRM 1944 Page 9 of 22

<sup>(</sup>a) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

<sup>(</sup>b) Each certified value is a mean of the means from two or more analytical methods, weighted as described in Paule and Mandel [10].

Each uncertainty, computed according to the CIPM approach as described in the ISO/JCGM Guide [11,12], is an expanded uncertainty at the 95 % level of confidence, which includes random sources of uncertainty within each analytical method as well as uncertainty due to the drying study. The expanded uncertainty defines a range of values within which the true value is believed to lie, at a level of confidence of approximately 95 %.

<sup>(</sup>c) GC-ECD (IA) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after Soxhlet extraction with DCM.

<sup>(</sup>d) GC-ECD (IB) on the 50 % octadecyl (C-18) methylpolysiloxane phase; same extracts analyzed as in GC-ECD (IA).

<sup>(</sup>e) GC-ECD (IIA) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after Soxhlet extraction with DCM.

<sup>(</sup>f) GC-ECD (IIB) on the 50 % octadecyl (C-18) methylpolysiloxane phase; same extracts analyzed as in GC-ECD (IIA).

<sup>(9)</sup> GC/MS (I) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after Soxhlet extraction with 50 % hexane/50 % acetone mixture.

th GC/MS (II) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after PFE extraction with 50 % hexane/50 % acetone mixture.

<sup>(</sup>i) GC/MS (III) on 5 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase; same extracts analyzed as in GC-ECD (IIA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(j)</sup> Results from nineteen laboratories participating in an interlaboratory comparison exercise.

<sup>(</sup>b) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

<sup>(</sup>c) Results from five to seven laboratories participating in the NRCC interlaboratory comparison exercise.

<sup>(</sup>d) Measured at NIST using INAA.

<sup>(</sup>e) Measured at NRCC using ICPOES.

<sup>(</sup>f) Measured at NRCC using GFAAS.

<sup>(</sup>g) Measured at IAEA using INAA.

<sup>(</sup>h) Measured at NIST using ID-ICPMS.

<sup>(</sup>i) Measured at NRCC using ID-ICPMS.

	Mass Fraction <sup>(a,b,c)</sup> (mg/kg)			
Coronene	0.53	±	0.04	
Dibenzo[b,e]fluoranthene	0.076	$\pm$	0.008	
Naphtho[1,2-b]fluoranthene	0.70	土	0.06	
Naphtho[1,2-k]fluoranthene				
and Naphtho[2,3-j]fluoranthene	0.66	±	0.05	
Naphtho[2,3-b]fluoranthene	0.21	土	0.01	
Dibenzo $[b,k]$ fluoranthene	0.75	<u>±</u>	0.06	
Dibenzo $[a,k]$ fluoranthene	0.22	<b>±</b>	0.02	
Dibenzo $[j,l]$ fluoranthene	0.56	$\pm$	0.03	
Dibenzo[a,l]pyrene	0.12	±	0.02	
Naphtho[2,3-k]fluoranthene	0.11	±	0.01	
Naphtho[2,3-e]pyrene	0.33	$\pm$	0.02	
Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene	0.67	±	0.05	
Naphtho[2,1-a]pyrene	0.76	±	0.05	
Dibenzo $[e,l]$ pyrene	0.28	$\pm$	0.02	
Naphtho[2,3-a]pyrene	0.23	<u>+</u>	0.01	
Benzo[b]perylene	0.43	$\pm$	0.04	
Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene	0.30	$\pm$	0.03	

<sup>(</sup>a) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

0.11

<u>±</u>

0.01

Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene

<sup>(</sup>h) Reference values are the means of results obtained by NIST using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty, U, is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor, k, is determined from the Student's t-distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom (df = 2) and 95 % confidence level for each analyte.

<sup>(</sup>c) GC/MS on 50 % phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase after PFE with DCM.

			s Fr μg/k	actions <sup>(a)</sup> :g)
PBDE	47 (2,2',4,4'-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(c,d,e,f)</sup>	1.72	±	0.28 <sup>(b)</sup>
PBDE	99 (2,2',4,4',5-Pentabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(c,d,f)</sup>	1.98	±	$0.26^{(b)}$
PBDE	100 (2,2',4,4',6-Pentabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(c,d)</sup>	0.447	<u>±</u>	$0.027^{(b)}$
PBDE	153 (2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(c,d,e,f)</sup>	6.44	$\pm$	$0.37^{(b)}$
PBDE	154 (2,2',4,4',5,6'-Hexabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(c,d,f)</sup>	1.06	$\pm$	$0.08^{(b)}$
PBDE	183 (2,2',3,4,4',5',6-Heptabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(c,d,e,f)</sup>	31.8	$\pm$	$0.1^{(b)}$
	206 (2,2',3,3',4,4',5,5',6-Nonabromodiphenyl ether) <sup>(d,e)</sup>	6.2	土	$1.0^{(b)}$
PBDE	209 (Decabromodiphenyl ether) (c,d,e,f)	93.5	$\pm$	4.4 <sup>(b)</sup>

<sup>(</sup>a) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

Table 9. Reference Mass Fraction Values for Selected Elements in SRM 1944 (Dry-Mass Basis)

	Degrees of Freedom	Ma	ass Fra (%	action <sup>(a,b)</sup>
Silicon <sup>c,d</sup>	81	31	土	3
		Ma	ass Fra (mg/l	action <sup>(a,b)</sup> (g)
Antimony(c,e,f,g)	18	4.6	<u>±</u>	0.9
Beryllium(c,h)	17	1.6	±	0.3
Copper(c,d,f)	101	380	±	40
Mercury <sup>(c,i)</sup>	18	3.4	±	0.5
Selenium(c,c,f)	24	1.4	<u>+</u>	0.2
Silver <sup>(c,d,e,g)</sup>	8	6.4	±	1.7
Thallium <sup>(c,f)</sup>	12	0.59	<u>+</u>	0.1
Tin <sup>(c,f)</sup>	22	42	±	6

<sup>(</sup>a) The reference value is the equally weighted mean of available results from: (1) NIST INAA analyses, (2) two methods performed at NRCC, (3) results from seven selected laboratories participating in the NRCC intercomparison exercise, and (4) results from INAA analyses at IAEA. The expanded uncertainty in the reference value is equal to  $U = ku_c$  where  $u_c$  is the combined standard uncertainty and k is the coverage factor, both calculated according to the ISO/JCGM Guide [11,12]. The value of  $u_c$  is intended to represent at the level of one standard deviation the uncertainty in the value. Here  $u_c$  accounts for possible method differences, within-method variation, and material inhomogeneity. The coverage factor, k, is the Student's t-value for a 95 % confidence interval with the corresponding degrees of freedom. Because of material inhomogeneity, the variability among the measurements of multiple test portions can be expected to be greater than that due to measurement variability alone.

SRM 1944

8/10/2018

<sup>(</sup>b) Reference values are weighted means of the results from two to four analytical methods [14]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2 (approximately 95 % confidence), calculated by combining a between-method variance incorporating inter-method bias with a pooled within-source variance following the ISO/JCGM/NIST Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurements [11,12].

<sup>(</sup>c) Results from ten laboratories participating in an interlaboratory study for PBDEs in sediment [12].

<sup>(</sup>d) Results from four laboratories participating in the 2007 interlaboratory study [13].

<sup>(</sup>c) NIST participation in the 2007 interlaboratory study using GC/MS.

<sup>(1)</sup> Data set from NIST for PBDEs using GC/MS following PFE with alumina SPE and SEC clean-up.

<sup>(</sup>b) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

<sup>(</sup>c) Results from five to seven laboratories participating in the NRCC interlaboratory comparison exercise.

<sup>(</sup>d) Measured at NRCC using GFAAS.

<sup>(</sup>e) Measured at NIST using INAA.

<sup>(1)</sup> Measured at NRCC using ID-ICPMS.

<sup>(</sup>g) Measured at IAEA using INAA.

<sup>(</sup>h) Measured at NRCC using ICPOES.

<sup>(</sup>i) Measured at NRCC using cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS).

	Mass Fraction <sup>(a</sup> (µg/kg)			
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.133	土	0.009	
1,2,3,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.019	<u>±</u>	0.002	
1,2,3,4,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.026	$\pm$	0.003	
1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.056	<u>+</u>	0.006	
1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.053	$\pm$	0.007	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.80	$\pm$	0.07	
Octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	5.8	±	0.7	
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzofuran <sup>(c)</sup>	0.039	±	0.015 <sup>(d)</sup>	
1,2,3,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzofuran	0.045	±	0.007	
2,3,4,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzofuran	0.045	±	0.004	
1,2,3,4,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran	0.22	<u>±</u>	0.03	
1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran	0.09	<b>±</b>	0.01	
2,3,4,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran	0.054	±	$0.006^{(e)}$	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Heptachlorodibenzofuran	1.0	±	0.1	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Heptachlorodibenzofuran	0.040	±	$0.006^{(e)}$	
Octachlorodibenzofuran	1.0	±	0.1	
Total Toxic Equivalents (TEQ)(f)	0.25	±	0.01	
Total Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins	0.25	$\pm$	0.05 <sup>(e)</sup>	
Total Pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins	0.19	$\pm$	0.06	
Total Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins	0.63	±	0.09	
Total Heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins	1.8	±	0.2	
Total Tetrachlorodibenzofurans	0.7	±	0.2	
Total Pentachlorodibenzofurans	0.74	$\pm$	0.07	
Total Hexachlorodibenzofurans	1.0	土	0.1	
Total Heptachlorodibenzofurans	1.5	±	0.1	
Total Dibenzo-p-dioxins(g)	8.7	±	0.9	
Total Dibenzofurans(g)	5.0	$\pm$	0.5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(a)</sup> Each reference value is the mean of the results from up to fourteen laboratories participating in an interlaboratory exercise. The expanded uncertainty in the reference value is equal to  $U = ku_c$  where  $u_c$  is the combined standard uncertainty calculated according to the ISO/JCGM Guide [11,12] and k is the coverage factor. The value of  $u_c$  is intended to represent at the level of one standard deviation the combined effect of all the uncertainties in the reference value. Here  $u_c$  is the uncertainty in the mean arising from the variation among the laboratory results. The degrees of freedom is equal to the number of available results minus one (13 unless noted otherwise). The coverage factor, k, is the value from a Student's t-distribution for a 95 % confidence interval.

<sup>(</sup>b) Mass fractions are reported on dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

<sup>(</sup>c) Confirmation results using a 50 % cyanopropyl phenyl polysiloxane or 90 % bis-cyanopropyl 10 % cyanopropylphenyl polysiloxane phase columns.

<sup>(</sup>d) Degrees of freedom = 7 for this compound.

<sup>(</sup>e) Degrees of freedom = 12 for this compound.

<sup>(</sup>f) TEQ is the sum of the products of each of the 2,3,7,8-substituted congeners multiplied by their individual toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) recommended by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) [15]. With regard to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran, the results of the confirmation column were used when available to calculate the TEQ.

<sup>(</sup>g) Total of tetra- through octachlorinated congeners.

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) <sup>(a,b)</sup>	Mass Fraction (%)		
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)(a,b)	4.4	±	0.3
Extractable Mass <sup>(c,d)</sup>	1.15	±	0.04

<sup>(</sup>a) Mass fraction is reported on a dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

Table 15. Information Mass Fraction Values for Selected Elements in SRM 1944 as Determined by INAA (Dry-Mass Basis)

	Mass Fraction <sup>(a)</sup> (%)
Magnesium <sup>(b)</sup>	1.0
	Mass Fraction <sup>(a)</sup> (mg/kg)
Cerium <sup>(b)</sup>	65
Europium <sup>(b)</sup>	1.3
Gold <sup>(b)</sup>	0.10
Lanthanum <sup>(b)</sup>	39
Thorium <sup>(b)</sup>	13
Uranium <sup>(b)</sup>	3.1

<sup>(</sup>a) Mass fraction is reported on a dry-mass basis; material as received contains approximately 1.3 % moisture.

SRM 1944 Page 17 of 22

<sup>(</sup>b) The reference value for total organic carbon is an equally weighted mean value from routine measurements made by three laboratories. Each uncertainty, computed according to the CIPM approach as described in the ISO/JCGM Guide [11,12], is an expanded uncertainty at the 95 % level of confidence, which includes random sources of uncertainty. The expanded uncertainty defines a range of values for the reference value within which the true value is believed to lie, at a level of confidence of 95 %.

<sup>(</sup>c) Extractable mass as determined from Soxhlet extraction using DCM.

<sup>(</sup>d) The reference value for extractable mass is the mean value of six measurements. Each uncertainty, computed according to the CIPM approach as described in the ISO/JCGM Guide [11,12], is an expanded uncertainty at the 95 % level of confidence, which includes random sources of uncertainty. The expanded uncertainty defines a range of values for the reference value within which the true value is believed to lie, at a level of confidence of 95 %.

<sup>(</sup>b) Measured at IAEA using INAA

#### Elements

#### Analytical Methods

Aluminum FAAS, ICPOES, INAA, XRF

Antimony GFAAS, HGAAS, ICP-MS, ID-ICPMS, INAA Arsenic GFAAS, HGAAS, ICPMS, INAA, XRF

Beryllium GFAAS, ICP-AES, ICPMS

Bromine INAA

Cadmium FAAS, GFAAS, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS

Calcium INAA
Cerium INAA
Cesium INAA
Chlorine INAA

Chromium FAAS, GFAAS, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS, INAA, XRF

Cobalt INAA

Copper FAAS, GFAAS, ICPOES, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS, XRF

Europium INAA Gold INAA

Iron FAAS, ICPOES, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS, INAA, XRF

Lanthanum INAA

Lead FAAS, GFAAS, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS, XRF

Magnesium INAA

Manganese FAAS, ICPOES, ICPMS, INAA, XRF

Mercury CVAAS, ICPMS

Nickel GFAAS, ICPOES, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS, INAA, XRF

Potassium INAA Rubidium INAA Scandium INAA

Selenium GFAAS, HGAAS, ICPMS, INAA

Silicon FAAS, ICPOES, XRF

Silver FAAS, GFAAS, ICPMS, INAA

Sodium INAA

Thallium GFAAS, ICPOES, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS,

Thorium INAA

Tin GFAAS, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS

Titanium INAA Uranium INAA Vanadium INAA

Zinc FAAS, ICPOES, ICPMS, ID-ICPMS, XRF, INAA

#### Methods

CVAAS Cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry
FAAS Flame atomic absorption spectrometry

GFAAS Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry
HGAAS Hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry
ICPOES Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry

ICPMS Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

ID-ICPMS Isotope dilution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

INAA Instrumental neutron activation analysis XRF X-ray fluorescence spectrometry

SRM 1944

8/10/2018

#### APPENDIX A

The analysts and laboratories listed below participated in the interlaboratory comparison exercise for the determination of PBDEs in SRM 1944 [4].

- D. Hoover and C. Hamilton, AXYS Analytical, Sidney, BC, Canada
- S. Klosterhaus and J. Baker, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD, USA
- S. Backus, Environment Canada, Ecosystem Health Division, Burlington, ON, Canada
- E. Sverko, Environment Canada, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, ON, Canada
- P. Lepom, Federal Environmental Agency, Berlin, Germany
- R. Hites and L. Zhu, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
- G. Jiang, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Beijing, China
- H. Takada, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- A. Covaci and S. Vorspoels, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- A. Li, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

#### APPENDIX B

The analysts and laboratories listed below participated in the interlaboratory comparison exercise for the determination of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzo-furans in SRM 1944.

- W.J. Luksemburg, Alta Analytical Laboratory, Inc., El Dorado Hills, CA, USA
- L. Phillips, AXYS Analytical Services Ltd., Sidney, British Columbia, Canada
- M.J. Armbruster, Battelle Columbus Laboratories, Columbus, OH, USA
- G. Reuel, Canviro Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
- C. Brochu, Environment Québec, Laval, Québec, Canada
- G. Poole, Environment Canada Environmental Technology Centre, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
- B. Henkelmann, GSF National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg, Germany
- R. Anderson, Institute of Environmental Chemistry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- C. Lastoria, Maxxam Analytics Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada
- E. Reiner, Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy, Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada
- J. Macaulay, Research and Productivity Council, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada
- T.L. Wade, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA
- C. Tashiro, Wellington Laboratories, Guelph, Ontario, Canada
- T.O. Tiernan, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, USA

#### APPENDIX C

The analysts and laboratories listed below participated in the interlaboratory comparison exercise for the determination of trace elements in SRM 1944.

- A. Abbgy, Applied Marine Research Laboratory, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA
- A. Scott, Australian Government Analytical Laboratories, Pymble, Australia
- H. Mawhinney, Animal Research Institute, Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Queensland, Australia
- E. Crecelius, Battelle Pacific Northwest, Sequim, WA, USA
- M. Stephenson, California Department of Fish and Game, Moss Landing, CA, USA
- B. Presley, Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA
- K. Elrick, U.S. Geological Survey, Atlanta, GA, USA

SRM 1944 Page 21 of 22

#### CUSTOMER REGISTRATION AND SATISFACTION REPORT CARD FOR NIST STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS

To be notified of any updates or developments of a product, please register your Standard Reference Material (SRM).

We also invite you to rate our services. Information provided by you is very valuable to our continued efforts to enhance our features and content of our measurement services.

You can complete and return this card.

#### Please Print

To submit a registration and customer survey online, go to http://www.nist.gov/srm\_survey.

To submit a registration only, go to http://www.nist.gov/srm\_reg.

> Visit us at http://www.nist.gov/srm

Preferred Method of Contact:

Thank you.

Do

PURCHASE INFORMATION			
SRM/RM Number:	1944		
Date Shipped:	Jul 17, 2018		
NIST Division:	646		
NIST Sales Order Number: Example (0800000)	1811517		
Customer Purchase Order Number:			
SRM/RM Lot Number:			
SRM/RM Serial Number:			
Purchased directly from NIST?	Yes □	No 🗆	
CUSTOMER INFORMATION			
User First Name:	,		
User Surname:			
Organization/Company:			
Address:			
Address (continued):			
City, State/Province:	City:	State/Province:	
Country, Postal Code:	Country:	Postal Code:	
E-mail:			
Phone:			
Fax:			

□Fax

NOT cut.	Fold, staple, and mail.	Do NOT cut
NOT Cut.	roid, staple, and man.	DO NOT cui
	The company of the control of the co	

□Phone

□Email

Please rate your SKM purchasing experience			<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>			
Category	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair*	Poor*	N/A
SRM availability for purchase						
Pre-purchase information from the SRM web site						
Pre-purchase information from the SRM printed catalo	g					
Purchase transaction experience using the telephone						
Purchase transaction experience using the online ordering web site						
Telephone customer service after purchase						
Email customer service after purchase						
Once the order for available items was placed, timeliness of delivery						
Material packed properly						
SRM Certificate or Report of Investigation information						
Material Safety Data sheet quality						
Carrier treatment of shipment						
Overall SRM Quality						
Overall SRM Value						
How could NIST serve you better in the future?						
*Let us know why any score was Fair or Poor.				***************************************	**************************************	
What is the application of this SRM or RM to your work	?					
Other comments?						
How did you hear about NIST Standard Reference Mate	rials?			***************************************		
☐ Advertisement ☐ Catalog/Brochure ☐ 0	Colleague 🔲	Exhibit/Meeting	☐ Web	□ R	epeat Custo	mer
11 Off 755 1 1 1 1 (O149) / (11 1		04 88				

Approved by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for public information collection until 31 May 2018.

OMB Control No.: 0693-0031

☐Do NOT Contact

8/10/2018

Client: AECOM Job Number: 580-78968-1

Login Number: 78968 List Source: TestAmerica Seattle

List Number: 1

Creator: Gall, Brandon A

Creator: Gall, Brandon A		
Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a survey meter.</td <td>N/A</td> <td>Lab does not accept radioactive samples.</td>	N/A	Lab does not accept radioactive samples.
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	N/A	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

**TestAmerica Seattle** 

### Walker, M Elaine

From:

Dahl, Amy <amy.dahl@aecom.com> Thursday, July 19, 2018 7:11 PM

Sent: To:

Walker, M Elaine

Cc: Subject: Cook, Chelsey FW: TestAmerica sample confirmation files from 580-78968-1 Portland Harbor Pre-

Remedial Design

Attachments:

SampleLoginAck\_580-78968-1 [Std\_Tal\_Login\_Ack].pdf; COC 580-78968

(201807191011).pdf

Hi Elaine, is it possible to add on TOC and metals (Mn, As, Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn, Hg)?

Thank you,

Amy Dahl, PhD Chemist, Environment, Pacific Northwest D +1-206-438-2261

amy.dahl@aecom.com

AECOM 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 1600 Seattle, WA 98101, United States T +1-206-438-2700

aecom.com

From: Walker, Elaine [mailto:elaine.walker@testamericainc.com]

Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2018 10:26 AM

To: Dahl, Amy; Cook, Chelsey

**Subject:** TestAmerica sample confirmation files from 580-78968-1 Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design

Hello,

Attached please find the sample confirmation files for job 580-78968-1; Portland Harbor Pre-Remedial Design.

This is the login for SRM 1944, logged for 8270D SIM PAH analysis only. Please confirm.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Please let us know if we met your expectations by rating the service you received from TestAmerica on this project by visiting our website at: Project Feedback

**ELAINE M WALKER** 

Project Manager